**Executive Summary of AirDensityDrive: F1 Power & Top-Speed Analysis**

**Aim**

Demonstrate the impact of air density on engine power output, drag force and top speed for a Formula 1 vehicle for current and upcoming regulations.

**Scope**

All 24 tracks from F1 calendar

Ambient conditions at each track

Engine model: Choked air flow conditions

Drag & Rolling-Resistance Physics

**Methodology**

1. Data Collection: Elevation & Average track temperature per circuit
2. Density & Flow Model: Barometric formula + choked flow assumption
3. Power Calculation: ICE LHV x eta combustion +MGU-K contribution
4. Top Speed Solver: Numerical root-finding(fzero) to balance Pdrag + Prr = Ptotal
5. Validation: Comparison with FIA speed data (not all data was available)

**Key Results**

As it can be seen from *Figure 1*, apart from Mexico City, Sao Paulo and Las Vegas, air density ranges around 1.1 to 1.2 kg/m3 and therefore expected power output is also quite similar which can be seen from *Figure 2*. On the other hand, at these 3 tracks in maximum flow conditions maximum engine power drops up to **X** and maximum total available power drops up to 19% than the average. From power stand point, these cars should be slower. However, as it can be clearly seen from *Figure 3*, it is the exact opposite. This phenomena can be explained with the importance of aerodynamics. Explain the drag force

With the increase in MGU-K power output, cars can achieve higher speeds in 2026 especially at tracks with lower air density as MGU-K performance will not be affected compared to the ICE. This is also evident in *Figure 3* where top speed increase is much more substantial compared to the 2025 vehicles. Whether the deploying MGU-K to achieve these speeds are viable or not is out of the scope of this project.
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**Figure 1. Air Density at each track**
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**Figure 2. Maximum Power results**
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**Figure 3. Top Speed results**

Discrepancies between real world and simulation data

**Next Steps**

To make the analysis more accurate, top speed data from each track must be obtained. Additionally, at the end of 2025 and 2026 seasons results should be reviewed to

In terms of discrepancies occurring in tracks like Monaco, conducting a more comprehensive validation by obtaining entrance speed to straight, straight-line distance shed some light.

**Conclusion**

Initial model forecasts a consistent top‑speed uplift under 2026 regs. Full validation pending real data; however, insights will inform aerodynamic setup and MGU‑K calibration strategies.